arXiv:hep-ph/9203222v1 27 Mar 1992

UPRF–91–316
CPT–91/P.2629

INFRARED FACTORIZATION,

WILSON LINES

AND THE HEAVY QUARK LIMIT

G. P. Korchemsky* †

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Parma and
INFN, Gruppo Collegato di Parma, I–43100 Parma, Italy
e-mail: korchemsky@vaxpr.cineca.it

and

A. V. Radyushkin†

Centre de Physique Theorique, CNRS - Luminy,
F 13288 Marseille, France
e-mail: radyush@cebaf

Abstract

It is shown that, in QCD, the same universal function $\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_S)$ determines the infrared behaviour of the on-shell quark form factor, the velocity-dependent anomalous dimension in the heavy quark effective field theory (HQET) and the renormalization properties of the vacuum averaged Wilson lines with a cusp. It is demonstrated that a combined use of the methods developed in the relevant different branches of quantum field theory essentially facilitates the all-order study of the asymptotic and analytic properties of this function.

*INFN Fellow
†On leave from the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR – Dubna

1. Introduction

A considerable effort is being made now to construct a new approach to the heavy-quark physics. The basic idea [1, 2] is to use the fact that the masses of the heavy quarks c and b are essentially larger than the QCD scale Λ, and to start (when appropriate) with an effective field theory [1, 2], in which the heavy quark masses are infinite: $m_Q \to \infty$.

In the infinite mass limit, the components of the heavy quark momentum p_μ tend to infinity together with the quark mass: $p \sim m_Q$, the ratio p_μ/m_Q approaching a fixed value v_μ. The 4-velocity $v_\mu = (1/\sqrt{1-\mathbf{v}^2}, \mathbf{v}/\sqrt{1-\mathbf{v}^2})$ just specifies the direction in which the infinitely heavy quark is moving, and the heavy quark effective theory (HQET) [3, 4] has a peculiar property that each effective quark field $h_v(x)$ is characterized by a 4-velocity vector v_μ.

The electroweak interactions can change the velocity of the heavy quark $v_1 \to v_2$ and, as noted by Isgur and Wise [2], it is the 4-velocity change rather than the momentum transfer that is an important dynamic variable for the heavy meson form factors, both for elastic (like $\gamma^* D \to D$ and $\gamma^* B \to B$) and for weak ($B \to De\nu$-type) transition form factors. In other words, the QCD currents $\bar{Q}_2 \Gamma Q_1$ are substituted in the HQET by the effective currents $\bar{h}_{v_2} \Gamma h_{v_1}$ containing fields related to the two velocities v_1 and v_2.

An important observation made in [5] is that the effective currents $\bar{h}_{v_2} \Gamma h_{v_1}$ have a universal (the same for all Γ-matrices) velocity-dependent anomalous dimension $\gamma_w(\alpha_s)$. The velocity change is characterized by the scalar product $w \equiv (v_1 v_2)$ or, since the velocity vectors have a unit "length", $v_1^2 = v_2^2 = 1$, by the angle ϑ between them: $w = \cosh\vartheta$.

As a matter of fact, the authors of [5] are not the first who encountered an angle-dependent anomalous dimension in their calculation. Similar things happened before in two other and, at first sight, entirely different branches of quantum field theory.

First, more than 10 years ago, Polyakov [6], trying to reformulate the dynamics of gauge fields in terms of string operators, has observed that the vacuum average of a Wilson loop having a cusp, possesses extra ultraviolet divergences. These specific divergences, "generated" by the cusp, are multiplicatively renormalized [7], and the relevant anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_s)$ depends on the cusp angle ϑ.

The second example is related to the infrared (IR) behaviour of perturbative QCD which is known to be controlled by the subprocesses involving soft gluons. Since the soft gluons cannot change the momenta p_i of the incoming and outgoing particles, one faces here a situation completely similar to that in the heavy-quark limit: the particles are just moving in fixed directions (specified by p_i) through the gluonic cloud. The study of the IR behavior of perturbative QCD has not a purely academic interest: even if the IR singularities cancel, there remain finite IR-induced contributions. In our papers [8, 9], we developed an approach that enables one to study these contributions using a renormalization group equation. The relevant anomalous dimensions $\Gamma_{IR}(\vartheta_{ij}, \alpha_s)$ depend just on the angles ϑ_{ij} between the relevant momenta: $\cosh\vartheta_{ij} = (p_i p_j)/\sqrt{p_i^2 p_j^2}$.

The IR-induced terms are especially important for high momentum transfer processes, when $Q_{ij}^2 \equiv -(p_i - p_j)^2 \gg p_i^2 \sim p_j^2 \equiv p^2$, *i.e.,* when some angles ϑ_{ij} are large. We established that, in this limit, the anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{IR}(\vartheta_{ij}, \alpha_s)$, to all orders in α_s, is linear in ϑ_{ij} or, what is the same, in $\log(Q_{ij}^2/p^2)$:

$$\Gamma_{IR}(\vartheta_{ij}, \alpha_s) = K(\alpha_s) \log(Q_{ij}^2/p^2) + A(\alpha_s). \tag{1}$$

The function $K(\alpha_s)$ plays an important role in the IR-induced and the double logarithmic contributions [1] to different hard processes: the same combination

$$K(\alpha_s) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} C_F + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 C_F \left(C_A \left(\frac{67}{36} - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \right) - N_f \frac{5}{18} \right) \tag{2}$$

(where $C_F = 4/3$ and $C_A = 3$ are quark and gluon color factors and $N_f = 3$ is the number of light quark flavours) governs the $x \to 1$ asymptotics of the deep inelastic structure functions [9] and the large perturbative corrections to the Drell-Yan cross section [11] and the e^+e^-−annihilation [12] .

One might ask: are all these anomalous dimensions independent or there exists a connection between all of them? It is our goal in the present paper to study the interrelation between the infrared behaviour of perturbative QCD and the renormalization properties both of the Wilson loops (lines) and of the effective

[1] A function equivalent to $K(\alpha_s)$ is the basic object in another RG-type approach to the double-logarithmic effects developed by Collins [10]

heavy quark currents in the HQET, to demonstrate that the apparently unrelated functions, each playing a fundamental role in its field, are precisely identical and correspond to the QCD analogue of the bremsstrahlung function known from the early QED years.

2. Infrared singularities and the heavy quark limit

A simple but essential fact is that there are no velocity- or angle-dependent ultraviolet divergences in any standard QCD diagram. This means that the HQET has extra UV divergences: the heavy quark limit $m_Q \to \infty$ is singular. In particular, a logarithmic divergence in the HQET may result from a $\log(m_Q/\lambda)$-contribution in QCD, with λ remaining finite in the heavy quark limit. However, since all the momenta p_μ scale as m_Q in this limit: $p_\mu \to m_Q v_\mu$, the only combination that might be much smaller than m_Q^2 is $(p^2 - m_Q^2)$, the heavy quark off-shellness, and the singularity may result only from a term like $\log(m_Q^2/(p^2 - m_Q^2))$. Such a term is a standard infrared logarithm, with $(p^2 - m_Q^2)$ serving as an infrared cut-off. This indicates that the velocity-dependent divergences should be closely related to the IR behaviour of perturbative QCD. Indeed, studying the hadrons containing a single heavy quark, one is interested in the kinematic situation when the heavy quark energy differs from its mass by some finite amount E, which has a meaning of the average energy of the light quark(s), binding energy, *etc.* Thus, the virtuality of the heavy quark $(p^2 - m_Q^2) \approx 2m_Q E$ is much smaller than its mass squared:

$$\Delta = \frac{p^2 - m_Q^2}{m_Q^2} \ll 1.$$

In the heavy quark limit, $m_Q \to \infty$, the parameter Δ tends to zero and, in this sense, the heavy quark is almost on-shell.

It is well-known that, in gauge theories, the on-shell form factors have infrared (IR) singularities. The classic example is the elastic electron on-shell ($p_1^2 = p_2^2 = m^2$) form factor in QED. At the one-loop level one has, for the Dirac form factor

$$F(Q^2, m^2) = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{m^2}{\lambda^2}\right) B\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) + (IR\ regular\ terms)\ ,$$

where $Q^2 = -(p_1 - p_2)^2$ is the transferred momentum, λ is the (fictitious) photon mass serving as the IR cut-off parameter and $B\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right)$ is the bremsstrahlung function

$$B\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = \frac{1 + \frac{2m^2}{Q^2}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4m^2}{Q^2}}} \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4m^2}{Q^2}} + 1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4m^2}{Q^2}} - 1}\right) - 1\ . \tag{3}$$

Since λ^2 is treated as a scale much smaller than m^2, we have the same kinematic situation as in the heavy quark limit.

The IR logarithms in QED are known to exponentiate when summed over all orders [13]

$$F(Q^2, m^2) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{m^2}{\lambda^2}\right) B\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right)\right\} \times (IR\ regular\ terms). \tag{4}$$

In this expression, one can easily factorize the "long distance" contribution $\left(\mu^2/\lambda^2\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}B}$ absorbing all the IR divergences, and the "short-distance" factor $\left(m^2/\mu^2\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}B}$. As a result, one can rewrite eq.(4) as

$$F(Q^2, m^2) = \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda^2}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}B} C\left(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) \tag{5}$$

where the IR regular factor $C(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{Q^2}{m^2})$ now has an explicit dependence on the IR factorization scale μ.

The derivation of this factorized representation has a striking resemblance to the standard factorization procedure for the collinear mass singularities applied to deep inelastic scattering (in the form of the operator product expansion, OPE) and to other hard processes. Using it, one can factorize the original function, *e.g.,* $W_n(Q^2, p^2)$, the n-th moment of the deep inelastic structure function, into the short-distance coefficient function

$C_n(Q^2/\mu^2)$ and the long-distance sensitive matrix element $f_n(\mu^2/p^2)$ absorbing all the collinear logarithms $\log p^2$ singular in the $p^2 \to 0$ limit:

$$W_n(Q^2, p^2) = f_n(\mu^2/p^2)\, C_n(Q^2/\mu^2).$$

The factorization scale μ separates the high- and low-momentum regions: the essential momenta k are $k > \mu$ for the C-function and $k < \mu$ for the f-function. An important fact is that the matrix elements of the local composite twist-2 operators O_n, producing f_n, possess extra UV divergences absent in the original theory (QCD). Of course, the factorization procedure automatically imposes the UV cut-off $k < \mu$, and there are no actual infinities. But now one can make use of the fact that the μ-dependence of the f_n-function is just the dependence on the UV cut-off parameter and incorporate the renormalization group to study it. That is how the n-dependent anomalous dimensions $\gamma_n(\alpha_s)$ of the composite operators O_n and/or z-dependent anomalous dimensions (evolution kernels) $P(z, g)$ of the parton distribution functions $f(x, \mu^2)$ come into play.

The analogy between the factorization properties of the QED formula (5) and the OPE-type factorization has a very deep reason [8]: in QCD (and in any similar gauge theory) one can factorize out the IR-singular terms of the on-shell quark form factor

$$F(Q^2, m^2) = F_{IR} \times (IR\ regular\ terms).$$

The IR-sensitive factor F_{IR} accumulates all the effects due to interactions of the massive particle (heavy quark, if one studies the heavy quark limit) with soft quanta - gluons and massless quarks. The explicit expression for F_{IR} is given by the vacuum average of the Wilson line operator $W(C)$

$$F_{IR} = \langle 0|\mathcal{T} \exp\left(ig \int_0^{+\infty} dt\, v_2^\mu A_\mu(v_2 t)\right) \exp\left(ig \int_{-\infty}^0 dt\, v_1^\mu A_\mu(v_1 t)\right)|0\rangle \equiv \langle 0|W(C)|0\rangle \tag{6}$$

calculated along the classic path C of the massive ("heavy") particle. It goes from $-\infty$ along the direction of the initial momentum $p_1 = mv_1$ to the interaction point 0 and then along the direction of the final momentum $p_2 = mv_2$ to $+\infty$. The soft gluons coupling directly to the heavy particle are described by the gauge potentials $A_\mu(x)$.

To summarize, in this limit (one can treat it either as the IR limit $\lambda \to 0$ or as the heavy quark limit $m \to \infty$), all the effects due to interactions of the massive particle with the gluons can be described by a Wilson line. This result has a natural interpretation: the wave function of a very massive particle propagating through the gluonic cloud acquires only a phase factor equal to the Wilson line. All other effects are suppressed by powers of λ/m. In particular, they cannot produce contributions singular in the IR limit $\lambda \to 0$.

Just like in the OPE case, the factorization scale μ works as an ultraviolet cut-off for F_{IR}, since only small momenta $k < \mu$ contribute to it. And such a cut-off is really necessary because, as established by Polyakov [6], any Wilson loop with a cusp has extra UV divergences.

3. Wilson lines and the heavy quark effective field theory

The properties of the Wilson lines and loops where studied in detail at the beginning of the 80's. A very important result [14] is that one can interpret the vacuum average of a Wilson line $W(C)$ as the propagator of one-dimensional fermions "living" on the integration path C:

$$\mathrm{Tr}\, W(C) = \langle \psi_a(+\infty)\bar{\psi}_a(-\infty)\rangle \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}(t)\mathcal{D}\psi(t)\ \psi_a(+\infty)\bar{\psi}_a(-\infty) \exp(-iS) \tag{7}$$

where the action S, in our case, is defined by

$$S = i\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt\, \bar{\psi}(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(t) - g\int_{-\infty}^0 dt\, \bar{\psi}(t) v_1^\mu A_\mu(v_1 t)\psi(t) - g\int_0^{+\infty} dt\, \bar{\psi}(t) v_2^\mu A_\mu(v_2 t)\psi(t). \tag{8}$$

It is easy to show that the one-dimensional fermions defined in this way exactly coincide with the effective heavy quark field of the HQET:

$$\langle 0|h_{v_1}(v_1 t)|h, v_1\rangle = \langle \psi(t)\bar{\psi}(-\infty)\rangle = \mathcal{T}\exp\left(ig\int_{-\infty}^t d\sigma\, v_1^\mu A_\mu(v_1\sigma)\right), \quad t < 0 \tag{9}$$

and

$$\langle h, v_2|\bar{h}_{v_2}(v_2 t)|0\rangle = \langle \psi(+\infty)\bar{\psi}(t)\rangle = \mathcal{T}\exp\left(ig\int_t^{+\infty} d\sigma\, v_2^\mu A_\mu(v_2\sigma)\right), \quad t>0\ . \tag{10}$$

The representation (7) is very convenient to analyze the general renormalization properties of the Wilson lines. In particular, it was found [7, 14] that for a smooth integration path all the UV divergences can be compensated by a renormalization of fields and vertices.

The Wilson lines in the r.h.s. of eqs.(9) and (10) are not closed, and their end-points produce extra UV divergences. They can be multiplicatively renormalized, and the relevant anomalous dimension $\gamma_{end}(\alpha_s)$ was calculated, in the Feynman gauge, to one loop in [7, 14] and to two loops in [15]

$$\gamma_{end}(\alpha_s) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}C_F - \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 C_F\left(\frac{19}{12}C_A - \frac{1}{3}N_f\right).$$

From the relations (9) and (10) it follows that $\gamma_{end}(\alpha_s)$ coincides with the anomalous dimension of the effective quark field in the HQET and with that of the one-dimensional fermions in the theory defined by (8). The HQET calculation was performed in [16].

As mentioned earlier, each cusp of the integration path also generates extra UV divergences governed by the anomalous dimension Γ_{cusp} that depends on the cusp angle ϑ. In the theory of one-dimensional fermions (8) one obtains Γ_{cusp} as the anomalous dimension of the composite operator $\bar{\psi}(+0)\psi(-0)$. Using the relations (9) and (10) one can identify this operator with a heavy quark current $\bar{h}_{v_2}(0)h_{v_1}(0)$. Thus, the anomalous dimension of the heavy quark current in the HQET coincides with the cusp anomalous dimension of the relevant Wilson line

$$\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_s) = \gamma_w(\alpha_s), \tag{11}$$

provided, of course, that $w = \cosh\vartheta$.

In general, it is a difficult problem to calculate Wilson loops, even in perturbation theory. However, it is possible to evaluate $\langle 0|W(C)|0\rangle = F_{IR}$ using the explicit form of the contour C defined by eq.(6). The path C has an infinite length and, as a consequence, $\langle 0|W(C)|0\rangle$ has infrared divergences. Moreover, $\langle 0|W(C)|0\rangle$ depends on the directions of the rays forming C. Note now that the directions defining the line C are specified by the dimensionless ratios $v_1^\mu = p_1^\mu/m$ and $v_2^\mu = p_2^\mu/m$. Hence, the dimensionful parameters that explicitly appear in the vacuum average (6) are the IR cut-off λ and the factorization scale μ, the latter, as emphasized earlier, working as an ultraviolet cut-off for the new divergences generated by the cusp of the path C at the point 0. This means that the UV scale μ and the IR scale λ should basically appear in the ratio. There is also the ordinary renormalization scale μ_R fixing the definition of the running coupling constant $g(\mu_R)$:

$$F_{IR} = F_{IR}\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda},\ \frac{\mu}{\mu_R},\ (v_1v_2),\ g(\mu_R)\right) = F_{IR}\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda},\ \frac{\mu}{\mu_R},\ \frac{(p_1p_2)}{m^2},\ g(\mu_R)\right).$$

After taking $\mu = \mu_R$, the IR factor F_{IR}, being equal to the vacuum average of a Wilson loop, obeys, in general, the RG equation

$$\left(\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} + \beta(g)\frac{\partial}{\partial g} + \Gamma_{IR}\left(\frac{(p_1p_2)}{m^2}, g\right)\right) F_{IR}\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}, 1, \frac{(p_1p_2)}{m^2}, g\right) = 0\,,$$

where the anomalous dimension Γ_{IR} controls the IR induced logarithms $\log(\mu/\lambda)$. Since the path C has a cusp, one identifies Γ_{IR} with the cusp anomalous dimension:

$$\Gamma_{IR}\left(\frac{(p_1p_2)}{m^2}, \alpha_s\right) = \Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_s). \tag{12}$$

Furthermore, since the IR singularities of F_{IR} coincide with those of the original amplitude (*e.g.,* with those present in the on-shell form factor), the cusp anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_s)$ must reproduce the bremsstrahlung function (3):

$$\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_s) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}C_F B\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \tag{13}$$

The one-loop result for the cusp anomalous dimension can be taken from the pioneering paper by Polyakov [6], where it was calculated in the Euclidean space. In Minkowski case, one should change $\vartheta \to i\vartheta$ to get

$$\Gamma_{cusp}^{1-loop}(\vartheta, \alpha_s) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}C_F(\vartheta\coth\vartheta - 1).$$

To check the equivalence relation (13), one should just use that $Q^2 = 2m^2(\cosh\vartheta - 1)$. Taking the 1-loop expression for $\gamma_w(\alpha_S)$ from [5],

$$\gamma_w(\alpha_S) = \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} C_F \left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{w^2-1}} \log(w + \sqrt{w^2-1}) - 1 \right) \tag{14}$$

one can also verify, at the one-loop level, the relation (11).

The equivalence relations (11) and (13) manifest a close connection between the HQET and the theory of Wilson lines. The cusp anomalous dimension appears here as a new universal function controlling the IR-induced properties of perturbative QCD. All the specifics of the process under study is contained in the dependence of $\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_S)$ on the cusp angle ϑ determined entirely by the kinematics of the process.

4. Non-abelian exponentiation theorem

Using effective fields, the one-dimensional fermions or the effective heavy-quark fields, one can essentially simplify a general study of the renormalization properties of the Wilson lines. However, working within the effective field theory approach, one can easily miss some properties of the relevant anomalous dimensions which are evident if one treats them in the context of the Wilson line formalism.

For example, in QED, it is possible to get an exact expression for $\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha)$. The reason is that, in an abelian gauge theory without massless fermions (electrons in QED are treated as massive particles!), the vacuum average of a Wilson exponential is an exponential of the vacuum average corresponding to the photon propagator:

$$\langle 0|\mathcal{T} \exp\left(ig \int_C dz^\mu\, A_\mu(z) \right)|0\rangle = \exp\left(\frac{(ig)^2}{2} \int_C dz_1^\mu \int_C dz_2^\nu \langle 0|\mathcal{T} A_\mu(z_1) A_\nu(z_2)|0\rangle \right).$$

As a result, the cusp anomalous dimension in QED is completely determined by the first loop:

$$\Gamma_{cusp}^{QED}(\vartheta, \alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} B\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2} \right).$$

There are no higher order corrections. From the IR side, this property is well-known: all the IR singularities are given in QED by the exponential of the one-loop result [13].

In QCD, the situation is more complicated. First, there are light quarks u, d and s which can be treated as massless at a typical hadronic scale $\sim 1\,GeV$. Second, the gluons are described by non-abelian gauge fields. Nevertheless, it is possible to prove a non-abelian exponentiation theorem [17]: the vacuum average of a Wilson line operator in QCD is an exponential of some expression, to which, of course, not only the first loop contributes. Still, the property observed in QED is partially preserved: the higher-order corrections to $\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_S)$ do not repeat information contained in the one-loop result or, in general, in all the previous loops: calculating $\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_S)$, it is sufficient to consider only the diagrams which do not contain the lower-order ones as subgraphs, *i.e.,* the essential diagrams are two-particle irreducible in the current channel. As a result, the higher-order contributions, in the gluonic sector, are essentially non-abelian. In particular, the second-loop term should not contain terms proportional to C_F^2, the square of the one-loop color weight C_F. All such terms, present on diagram-by-diagram level, should eventually cancel, and only the terms proportional to the essentially non-abelian color weight $C_F C_A$ might remain. This observation can be used to check the results of the higher-order calculations for the relevant anomalous dimensions.

The two-loop contribution to Γ_{cusp} in pure gluodynamics (QCD without massless quarks) was calculated in our paper [18].[2] The massless quark contribution can be also added [9] and the total result is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{cusp}^{2-loop}(\vartheta, \alpha_S) &= \left(\frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\right)^2 C_F \left[-N_f \frac{5}{18}(\vartheta\coth\vartheta - 1) + C_A \left(\frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{67}{36} - \frac{\pi^2}{24} \right)(\vartheta\coth\vartheta - 1) \right.\right. \\
&- \coth\vartheta \int_0^\vartheta d\psi\psi\coth\psi + \coth^2\vartheta \int_0^\vartheta d\psi\psi(\vartheta - \psi)\coth\psi \\
&- \left.\left. \frac{1}{2}\sinh 2\vartheta \int_0^\vartheta d\psi \frac{\psi\coth\psi - 1}{\sinh^2\vartheta - \sinh^2\psi} \log\frac{\sinh\vartheta}{\sinh\psi} \right) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

[2] Independently, a similar calculation was performed by Knauss and Scharnhorst [15]. They failed, however, to get rid of all double integrations in their result.

It explicitly satisfies the requirement of the non-abelian exponentiation theorem: there are no C_F^2-terms in it.

In the framework of the HQET, the two-loop calculation of the velocity-dependent anomalous dimension was performed in a recent paper by Ji [19]. The author presents his result diagram by diagram, in terms of 7 integrals $I_1, \ldots, I_7$, two of which (I_1 and I_7) are calculated explicitly and 5 others are claimed to be not calculable in terms of the elementary functions. The integral I_6 even contains a double integration.[3] From our formula (15), it is clear that there should be really only three independent integrals containing no double integrations. The most worrying is the fact that the C_F^2-term in [19] is not explicitly equal to zero: it is proportional to $(I_1 I_3 + I_4 - I_5)$. Checking the results of [19], we managed to show that $I_3 = 0$. This observation allowed us to simplify another integral, I_4. Then, performing a straightforward integration by parts, we obtained that $I_5 = I_4$. Thus, the total C_F^2 coefficient in [19] vanishes, as it should.

The same statement is valid for the end-point anomalous dimension $\gamma_{end}(\alpha_s)$ or, equivalently, for the anomalous dimension of the effective heavy field in the HQET. In particular, no C_F^2-terms really appear at the two-loop level. The authors of [16], apparently, have not realized that their two-loop results have this property.

5. Cusp anomalous dimension for small and large angles

Incorporating the exponentiation theorem, one can easily obtain an important result mentioned in the introduction that the anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_s)$, for large angles ϑ, is linear in ϑ (or $\log(Q^2/m^2)$) to all orders of perturbation theory:

$$\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_s)|_{\vartheta \to \infty} = \vartheta K(\alpha_s) + \mathcal{O}(\vartheta^0)\,. \tag{16}$$

This fact has a simple explanation. In this limit, $\log(Q^2/m^2)$ is a typical collinear logarithm resulting from integration over the regions where the gluons are almost collinear to v_1 or v_2, *i.e.,* when they are emitted/absorbed at small angle. However, in the two-particle irreducible diagrams (only these really contribute to $\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_s)$) there is just one angular integration producing a singularity in the $m \to 0$ limit. Hence, just a single logarithm emerges, and we get eqs.(1), (16).

Using the explicit expression (15), one can check that our $\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_s)$ is linear in ϑ for large ϑ. However, this linearity requirement is not satisfied by the result [19] given by Ji, since it contains an exponentially rising contribution $\mathcal{O}(\exp(\vartheta))$ in the term proportional to $C_F N_f$. In general, we disagree with his results for the terms having this color factor.

From the expression (2), it follows that the first two coefficients of the perturbative expansion for the asymptotic slope $K(\alpha_s)$ are positive. There are good reasons to expect that $K(\alpha_s)$ should be positive to all orders. In particular, it can be shown [9] that $K(\alpha_s)$ determines the asymptotic behavior of the parton distribution function $f(x, Q^2)$ as $x \to 1$ for large Q^2. More specifically, the exponent $a(Q^2)$ in the asymptotic representation $f(x, Q^2) \propto (1-x)^{a(Q^2)}$ obeys the evolution equation [9]

$$\frac{d}{dQ^2} a(Q^2) = K(\alpha_s(Q^2)).$$

If $K(\alpha_s)$ is a positive function, then $a(Q^2)$ is an increasing function of Q^2, and, for $x \sim 1$, the parton distributions tend to zero faster and faster with increasing Q^2. And that is just what one is expecting within the QCD parton picture: if one probes the structure of a hadron at smaller and smaller distances, the probability to find a parton carrying almost all momentum of the hadron should become smaller and smaller.

In the opposite limit of small cusp angles, eq.(15) gives

$$\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_s)|_{\vartheta \to 0} = \vartheta^2 \left[\frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi} C_F + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 C_F \left(C_A \left(\frac{47}{54} - \frac{\pi^2}{18} \right) - N_f \frac{5}{54} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\vartheta^4) \tag{17}$$

Apparently, the expansion of the two-loop cusp anomalous dimension (15) contains only even powers of the angle ϑ. In view of the equivalence relation (11) this seems natural: $\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_s)$ depends on ϑ through $w = \cosh\vartheta$, which is an even function of ϑ. However, this simple reasoning is true only if $\Gamma_{cusp}(\vartheta, \alpha_s)$ is analytic at $\vartheta = 0$ or, equivalently, if $\gamma_w(\alpha_s)$ is an analytic function of w in the vicinity of the point $w = 1$. This fact is not immediately obvious, since the explicit 1-loop expression (14) for $\gamma_w(\alpha_s)$ contains the square root $\sqrt{w^2-1}$ capable of generating a cut starting just at $w = 1$.

[3] We failed to check this integral, since the explicit expression presented in [19] contains a variable u (or a function u) not defined in the paper.

To study the analyticity properties of $\gamma_w(\alpha_s)$, it is useful to use its relation to the anomalous dimension of the IR factor F_{IR} (12) and the fact that F_{IR} enters into the factorized expression for the elastic quark form factor $F(Q^2, m^2)$. Hence, $\gamma_w(\alpha_s)$ possesses only those singularities which the quark form factor has as a function of $Q^2 = 2m^2(w-1)$. It is well known, that the nearest singularity of the form factor is due to the pair creation in the annihilation channel and it corresponds to the point $Q^2 = -4m^2$. This implies that $\gamma_w(\alpha_s)$ is an analytic function at $w = 1$, with the nearest singularity at $w = -1$. Indeed, using the explicit expressions (3) and (15), one can easily check that the bremsstrahlung function has a cut starting at $Q^2 = -4m^2$ while the two-loop cusp anomalous dimension has the only pole singularity at $\cosh\vartheta = -1$.[4]

6. Conclusions

Thus, we demonstrated that three important functions, that appear in different branches of the quantum theory of gauge fields, coincide. The same function, the cusp anomalous dimension of a Wilson line, appears when one studies the IR singularities of the on-shell form factors or the velocity-dependent anomalous dimension in the effective heavy quark field theory. This is because in both cases, all the IR induced terms can be factorized from the original amplitudes into the universal IR factor given by the vacuum average of a Wilson line. This object, though it is a *nonlocal* functional of the gauge fields, has rather simple (and well studied) renormalization properties. As a consequence, the anomalous dimensions of the Wilson lines govern the IR logarithms in the same manner as the anomalous dimensions of the *local* composite twist-2 operators control the collinear singularities one encounters studying the structure functions of deep inelastic scattering.

In practical aspect, the well-developed machinery of the Wilson loop formalism can provide a good support for the heavy quark effective field theory. In particular, we established the equivalence of some recent HQET calculations [5, 16] with the earlier results for the Wilson lines [6, 7, 15]. We also corrected the two-loop calculation [19] of the velocity-dependent anomalous dimension.

Acknowledgment. One of us (A.V.R.) is grateful to E. De Rafael and J. Soffer for the warm hospitality at CPT (Marseille). G.P.K. thanks G.Marchesini for useful discussions and support.

References

[1] M.B.Voloshin and M.A.Shifman, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 45 (1987) 292 ; 47 (1988) 199.

[2] N.Isgur and M.Wise, Phys. Lett. B232 (1989) 113; B237 (1990) 527.

[3] E.Eichten and B. Hill, Phys. Lett. B234 (1990) 511.

[4] H.Georgi, Phys. Lett. B240 (1990) 447.

[5] A.F.Falk, H.Georgi, B.Grinstein and M.B.Wise, Nucl. Phys. B343 (1990) 1.

[6] A.M.Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B164 (1980) 171.

[7] V.S.Dotsenko and S.N.Vergeles, Nucl. Phys. B169 (1980) 527;
R.A.Brandt, F.Neri and M.-A.Sato, Phys. Rev. D24 (1981) 879;
H.Dorn, Fortschr. Phys. 34 (1986) 11.

[8] S.V.Ivanov, G.P.Korchemsky and A.V.Radyushkin, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 44 (1986) 145;
G.P.Korchemsky and A.V.Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. 171B (1986) 459; Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 45 (1987) 127; 910;
G.P.Korchemsky, Phys. Lett. 217B (1989) 330; 220B (1989) 629.

[9] G.P.Korchemsky, Mod. Phys. Lett. A4 (1989) 1257.

[10] J.C.Collins, in "Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics", ed. by A.H.Mueller (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989) p.573.

[4] We are grateful to L.N.Lipatov who has drawn our attention to this point

[11] C.T.H.Davies and W.J.Stirling, Nucl. Phys. B244 (1984) 337;
W.L. van Neerven, Phys. Lett. 147B (1984) 175.

[12] J.Kodaira and L.Trentadue, Phys. Lett. 112B (1982) 66.

[13] D.Yennie, S.Frautschi and H.Suura, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 13 (1961) 379;
G.Grammer and D.Yennie, Phys. Rev. D8 (1973) 4332;
J.M.Cornwall and G.Tiktopoulos, Phys. Rev. D13 (1976) 3370; D17 (1980) 2837.

[14] J.Gervais and A.Neveu, Nucl. Phys. B163 (1980) 189;
I.Ya.Aref'eva, Phys. Lett. 93B (1980) 347.

[15] D.Knauss and K.Scharnhorst, Ann. der Phys. 41 (1984) 331.

[16] D.J.Broadhurst and A.G.Grozin, Phys. Lett. 267B (1991) 105.

[17] J.G.M.Gatheral, Phys. Lett. 113B (1984) 90;
J.Frenkel and J.C.Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B246 (1984) 231.

[18] G.P.Korchemsky and A.V.Radyushkin, Nucl. Phys. B283 (1987) 342.

[19] X.Ji, Phys. Lett. 264B (1991) 193.